Notes and References

- 1. A synthesis of research on the decision is Irving L. Janis, Victims of Group think: A Psychological Study of Foreign Policy Decisions and Fiascoes (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1972). Insider accounts include Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., A Thousand Days (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1965): Theodore Sorenson, Kennedy (New York: Bantam edition, 1966); Roger Hilsman, To Move a Nation (New York: Doubleday, 1967).
- 2. David Halberstam, The Best and the Brightest (New York: Random House, 1972).
- 3. An extended account of the invasion is K. E. Meyer and T. Szulc, *The Cuban Invasion* (New York: Praeger, 1962).
- 4. See Karl W. Deutsch and Dieter Senghaas, "The Steps to War: A Survey of System Levels, Decision Stages, and Research Results" in Patrick J. McGowan (ed.) Sage International Yearbook of Foreign Policy Studies, vol. 1 (Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1973), pp. 275–329, p. 300.
- 5. Janis (see n. 1); Alexander L. George, "The Case for Multiple Advocacy in Making Foreign Policy," *American Political Science Review*, LXVI (Sept., 1972), pp. 751-785. See also the comment by I. M. Destler and the rejoinder by George, ibid., pp. 786-795.
- 6. For example, Harold D. Lasswell, Power and Personality (New York: Norton, 1948) and Psychopathology and Politics (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1930); James D. Barber, The Lawmakers (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1965) and The Presidential Character (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1972); Glendon Schubert, Quantitative Analysis of Judicial Behavior (Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1959); Arnold Rogow, James Forrestal: A Study of Personality, Politics, and Policy (New York: Macmillan, 1963); Alexander and Juliette George, Woodrow Wilson and Colonel House: A Personality Study (New York: Dover paperback, 1964); Ole Holsti, "Cognitive Dynamics and Images of the Enemy: Dulles and Russia" in David Finlay et al., Enemies in Politics (Chicago: Rand McNally, 1967), pp. 25-96; and "The 'Operational Code' Approach to the Study of Political Leaders: John Foster Dulles' Philosophical and Instrumental Beliefs," Canadian Journal of Political Science, III:1 (March, 1970), pp. 123-157; Betty Glad, Charles Evans Hughes and the Illusions of Innocence (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1966); Margaret Hermann, "How Leaders Process Information and the Effect on Foreign Policy, An Exploratory Study", in James N. Rosenau (ed.), Comparing Foreign Policies: Theories, Finding, and Methods (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1974); John Toland, Adolph Hitler (New York: Doubleday, 1976); Erik Erikson, Young Man Luther (New York: Norton, 1958) and Gandhi's Truth (New York: Norton, 1969); Bruce Mazlish, In Search of Nixon (New York: Basic Books. 1972); Bruce Mazlish, The Revolutionary Ascetic: Evolution of a Political Type (New York: Basic Books, 1976); Bruce Mazlish, Kissinger: The European Mind in American Politics (New York: Basic Books, 1976); Lucian Pye, Mao Tse Tung: The Man in the Leader (New York: Basic Books, 1976); Doris Kearns, Lyndon Johnson and the American Dream (New York: Harper and Row, 1976); Nancy Clinch, The Kennedy Neurosis (New York: Grosset and Dunlop, 1973); Robert

Tucker, Stalin as Revolutionary 1879–1929 (New York: Norton, 1974); Robert Tucker, "The Georges' Wilson Reexamined: An Essay on Psychobiography." American Political Science Review, 71:2 (June, 1977), pp. 606–618; Irving Lefberg, Analyzing Judicial Change: the Uses of Systematic Biography (unpublished doctoral thesis in progress, M.I.T.); Walter C. Langer, The Mind of Adolph Hitler (New York: Basic Books, 1972).

- 7. Schlesinger (n. 1); Janis (n. 1).
- Guerilla warfare was a preoccupation of Kennedy even when he was in the Senate.See Schlesinger (n. 1), pp. 340-342.
- 9. Schlesinger (n. 1).

Chapter 2

- 1. See Quincy Wright, A Study of War (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1964), abridged edition, pp. 319–322. Related studies of the way wars are justified are Kjell Goldmann, International Norms and War Between States (Stockholm: Laromedelsforlagen, 1971) and F. M. Kail, What Washington Said: Administration Rhetoric and the Vietnam War (New York: Harper and Row, 1973).
- 2. A classic article in the debate is Sidney Verba, "Assumptions of Rationality and Non-Rationality in Models of the International System," in Klaus Knorr and Sidney Verba (eds.), The International System: Theoretical Essays (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1961), pp. 93–117. The most recent review of the issues is Ole Holsti, "Foreign Policy Formation Viewed Cognitively" in Robert M. Axelrod (ed.), Structure of Decision: The Cognitive Maps of Political Elites (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1976), pp. 18–54. See also Morton Kaplan, On Historical and Political Knowing (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1972). pp. 109–121.
- 3. General reviews of psychological approaches to international relations include Herbert Kelman and Alfred Bloom, "Assumptive Frameworks in International Politics" in Jeanne Knutson (ed.), Handbook of Political Psychology (San Franscisco: Jossey-Bass, 1973), pp. 261–295; Amitai Etzioni, "Social Psychological Aspects of International Relations" in G. Lindzey and G. Aronson (eds.), The Handbook of Social Psychology second edition (Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1969), vol. 5, pp. 538–601; James C. Davies, "Aggression, Violence, Revolution, and War" in Jeanne Knutson (ed.), Handbook of Political Psychology (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1973), pp. 234–260. Broad overviews of international relations theory are James E. Dougherty and Robert Pfaltzgraff, Jr., Contending Theories of International Relations (Philadelphia: Lippincott, 1971) and Kenneth N. Waltz, "Theory of International Relations," in Fred I. Greenstein and Nelson Polsby (eds.), Handbook of Political Science (Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1975), vol. 8, pp. 1–85.

On the role of the individual decision maker see Holsti (n. 2); Herbert Kelman, "The Role of the Individual in International Relations: Some Conceptual and Methodological Considerations," *Journal of International Affairs*, 24:1 (1970), pp. 1-17; Lloyd Jensen, "Foreign Policy Calculation" in Michael Haas (ed.), *International Sys*-

tems: A Behavioral Approach (New York: Chandler, 1974), pp. 77–97; Samuel A. Kirkpatrick, "Psychological Views of Decision-Making" in Cornelius P. Cotter (ed.), Political Science Annual: Individual Decision Making (Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, 1975); Anthony A. D'Amato, "Psychological Constructs in Foreign Policy Prediction," Journal of Conflict Resolution 11 (1967), pp. 294–311; John D. Steinbruner, The Cybernetic Theory of Decision (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1974), ch. 4; Margaret Hermann, "Effect of Personal Characteristics of Leaders on Foreign Policy" in M. A. East et al. (eds.), Why Nations Act (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1977).

Of special methodological importance are Fred I. Greenstein's *Personality and Politics: Problems of Evidence, Inference, and Conceptualization* (Chicago: Markham, 1969), and (treating personality experimentally) Donald T. Campbell, "Reforms as Experiments" in James Caporaso and Leslie Roos, Jr. (eds.), *Quasi-Experimental Approaches* (Evanston: Northwestern University Press, 1973).

- 4. For a more elaborate schema see M. Brewster Smith, "A Map for the Analysis of Personality and Politics," reprinted in Fred I. Greenstein and Michael Lerner (eds.), A Source Book for the Analysis of Personality and Politics (Chicago: Markham, 1971), pp. 34-44. A related approach is Lloyd Etheredge, The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays (Washington: American Political Science Association, 1976).
- 5. Frances Fitzgerald, Fire in the Lake: The Vietnamese and the Americans in Vietnam (New York: Random House, 1972), ch. 1 et passim.
- 6. A remarkably thorough study based on over a decade's research is Robert Jervis, *Perception and Misperception in International Relations* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1976). See also Axelrod (n. 2), and Steinbruner (n. 3), ch. 4.
- 7. For a review see Holsti (n. 2); the key original article in the operational code approach is Alexander L. George, "The Operational Code: A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision Making," *International Studies Quarterly*, 13 (1969), pp. 109-222.

If I understand the George-Holsti position correctly it is that emotional dynamics usually become operative through, and can be captured by a study of, cognitive processes embodied in the structure of an operational code.

8. See Ole Holsti and Alexander L. George, "The Effects of Stress on the Performance of Foreign Policy-Makers" in C. P. Cotter (ed.), Political Science Annual: Individual Decision-Making (Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, 1975); Alexander L. George, "Adaptation to Stress in Political Decision Making: the Individual, Small Group, and Organizational Contexts" in G. V. Coelho et al. (eds.), Coping and Adaptation (New York: Basic Books, 1974); Irving Janis and Leon Mann, Decision Making: A Psychological Analysis of Conflict, Choice and Commitment (New York: Free Press, 1977).

Work on aggressive drives is ably reviewed by Stephen Nelson, "Nature/Nurture Revisited I: A Review of the Biological Bases of Conflict," *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 18 (1974), pp. 285–335, and in Davies (n. 3).

Crisis decision making is addressed in Charles F. Hermann (ed.), International Crisis: Insights from Behavioral Science (New York: Free Press, 1972).

Work related to "national character" determinants of national war-likeness include Quincy Wright (n. 1); Philip Slater, Footholds (New York: Dutton, 1977) chs. 9, 10 and apps. A, B; Philip Slater, The Glory of Hera (Boston: Beacon Press, 1971); David Levinson, "What Have We Learned from Cross-Cultural Surveys?" American Behavioral Scientist, 20:5 (May-June, 1977), pp. 757-792; David McClelland, Power: the Inner Experience (New York: Irvington, 1975).

9. Among the most wide-ranging studies are Margaret Hermann, "How Leaders Process Information and the Effect in Foreign Policy: An Exploratory Study" in James N. Rosenau (ed.), Comparing Foreign Policies, Theories, Findings, and Methods (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1974); see the works cited in note 6 of chapter 1 of the present book; Holsti (n. 2), overlaps with emotional dynamic categories.

A useful additional reference is John Raser, "Personal Characteristics of Political Decision-Makers: A Literature Review," Papers of the Peace Research Society (International), 5 (1966), pp. 161–181. Comparative data on American presidents' motivational patterns and wars in David Winter, The Power Motive (New York: Free Press, 1973), have also been a useful contribution.

- 10. Irving L. Janis, Victims of Groupthink: A Psychological Study of Foreign Policy Decisions and Fiascoes (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1972); Janis and Mann (n. 8); Joseph DeRivera, The Psychological Dimension of Foreign Policy (Columbus: Merrill, 1968) is an important contribution to this topic of group processes as well as more generally.
- 11. Chris Argyris, Some Causes of Organizational Ineffectiveness Within the Department of State, Occasional Paper No. 2 of the Center for International Systems Research (Washington: Department of State, 1967).
- 12. A review is Holsti (n. 2). Basic references are Graham T. Allison, Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis (Boston: Little, Brown, 1971); Morton Halperin, Bureaucratic Politics and Foreign Policy (Washington, DC: Brookings Institution, 1974).
- 13. I am here following Gergen in being skeptical of the possibility of deriving cross-contextual fixed coefficients. See Kenneth Gergen, "Social Psychology as History," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 26:2 (1973), pp. 309–320.
- 14. Bjorn Christiansen, Attitudes Towards Foreign Affairs as a Function of Personality (Oslo: Oslo University Press, 1959). General reviews of the personality-foreign policy literature may be found in Christiansen, Tom Atkinson, A Propositional Inventory of Empirical Work Involving Foreign Affairs and National Security Attitudes, 1960-1966 (Oak Ridge: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1967); Kenneth W. Terhune, "The Effects of Personality in Cooperation and Conflict" in Paul Swingle (ed.), The Structure of Conflict (New York: Academic Press, 1970), pp. 193-204; William Eckhardt and Theo. Lentz, "Factors of War/Peace Attitudes" Peace Research Reviews, 1:5 (October, 1967), entire; Herbert McClosky, "Personality and Attitude Correlates of Foreign Policy Orientation" in James N. Rosenau (ed.), Domestic Sources of Foreign Policy (New York: Free Press, 1967), pp. 51-109; Paul Sniderman and Jack Cit-

- rin, "Psychological Sources of Political Belief: Self-Esteem and Isolationist Attitudes," *American Political Science Review*, LXV:2 (June, 1971), pp. 401–417; William Eckhardt, "Ideology and Personality in Social Attitudes," *Peace Research Reviews*, III:2 (April, 1969), entire; William Eckhardt and N. Alcock, "Ideology and Personality in War/Peace Attitudes," *Journal of Social Psychology*, 81 (1970), pp. 105–116; William Eckhardt, "The Military-Industrial Personality," *Journal of Contemporary Revolutions*, 3:4 (1971), pp. 74–87; Slater (n. 8).
- 15. Christiansen (n. 14).
- 16. Eckhardt, "Ideology and Personality in Social Attitudes" (n. 14).
- 17. These could be construed as values, although I think such fantasies are less cerebral and more visceral than the term "values" implies. For evidence of generalization of values see William Scott, "International Ideology and Interpersonal Ideology," Public Opinion Quarterly, 24 (1960), pp. 419-435.
- 18. William Graham Sumner, Folkways (New York: Ginn, 1906).
- 19. Cited in Robert A. LeVine and Donald T. Campbell, Ethnocentrism: Theories of Conflict, Ethnic Attitudes, and Group Behavior (New York: Wiley 1972), p. 213.
- 20. LeVine and Campbell, ibid., provide a systematic review of evidence and alternative formulations.
- 21. See Fitzgerald (n. 5).
- 22. See Eckhardt and Lentz (n. 14); McClosky (n. 14); Sniderman and Citrin (n. 14).
- 23. McClosky (n. 14), pp. 106-107.
- 24. David C. Garnham, "Attitude and Personality Patterns of United States Foreign Service Officers," *American Journal of Political Science* 18:3 (1974), pp. 31–39; Bernard Mennis, *American Foreign Policy Officials: Who They Are and What They Believe Regarding International Politics* (Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 1971). For additional data on FSO attitude correlates see Andrew Semmel, "Some Correlates of Foreign Policy Attitudes among Foreign Service Officers" (unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1972).
- 25. Lloyd Etheredge, *Political Psychology and Qualitative Metaphysics* (unpublished manuscript); "Hardball Politics: A Model" (forthcoming); and "Hypnosis and Order" in John Sweeney (ed.), *Politics and Psychology* (tentative title, forthcoming).
- 26. Cited on frontispiece of Oscar Jaszi, *The Dissolution of Hapsburg Monarchy* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1929); paperback edition, 1961.
- 27. Henry A. Kissinger, "Domestic Structure and Foreign Policy," *Daedalus*, XCV (Spring, 1966), pp. 503–529. It should be noted that Kissinger based his analysis at the group level and implied that mistrust would be a realistic lesson for these men to learn from past experiences; such mistrust could be qualitatively different (in origin, intensity, and psychological consequences) from that which might arise between individuals in the United States.

- 28. Argyris (n. 11).
- 29. Verba (n. 2).
- 30. James D. Barber, *Power in Committees: An Experiment in the Governmental Process* (Chicago: Rand McNally, 1966), pp. 75-82.
- 31. Robert E. Lane, *Political Ideology; Why the American Common Man Believes What He Does* (Glencoe: Free Press, 1962), p. 468. Lane expands this thesis in his study of personality and belief systems among underclassmen at Adams College: "The aggressive man sees the government as aggressive... Here we have men... generalizing their need to be liked so that government officials are seen to be in the grip of this same need..." Robert E. Lane, *Political Thinking and Consciousness: The Private Life of the Political Mind* (Chicago: Markham, 1969), p. 141.
- 32. Cited in Mennis (n. 24), p. 173.
- 33. See LeVine and Campbell (n. 19) for a general review. This is a plausible albeit a rather crude operationalization since what is repressed may not be the direct opposite of what is manifest in interpersonal relations. It is conceivable that projection of unconscious elements of personality are represented in the intercept term of the equation for the dependent variable in chapter 5 of the present work with variations in manifest personal dynamics affecting only variations from this intercept.

- 1. Full documentation and extensive statistical appendices for these items and others not discussed in this manuscript are available in the thesis version: Lloyd S. Etheredge, A World of Men: The Private Sources of American Foreign Policy (unpublished doctoral thesis, Yale University, 1974) available through University Microfilms. Appendix A of the present book discusses the possible sensitivity of conclusions to sampling bias and other issues.
- 2. These numbers reflect a 78% response from 50 people contacted at OMB and 49% of the 100 military officers in the resident class at NWC.
- 3. I will refer to "men" throughout since 98% of FSOs, 87% of OMB respondents, and all military officers were male. The number of women was too small to permit separate statistical analysis.
- 4. Separate analysis by length of total service abroad, length of service in underdeveloped countries, and length of service in Communist bloc countries failed to identify any consistent effects from these experiences on the variables in the study.
- 5. This represents, according to informants, a typical pattern at OMB, where young men and women work at the agency for 3-7 years and then move out to a substantive job in another government agency. Some respondents at OMB were there as a career and were in their 50s. The foreign service and military are career services.
- 6. Reprinted in John Robinson and Philip Shaver, *Measures of Social Psychological Attitudes* (Ann Arbor: Survey Research Center, 1969), pp. 135-139. On convergent

and discriminant validity see David L. Hamilton, "The Comparative Study of Five Methods of Assessing Self-Esteem, Dominance, and Dogmatism," *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 31 (Summer, 1971), pp. 441–452. On the ICL weighting system see Rolfe LaForge et al., "The Interpersonal Dimension of Personality: II. An Objective Study of Repression," *Journal of Personality*, 23:1 (September, 1954), pp. 129–153.

- 7. For example over two thirds of men in all groups checked "independent," "likes responsibility," "makes a good impression," and "friendly" while less than 10% checked "easily led," "meek," or "cold and unfeeling." These are of course self-ratings, and the ICL scoring system controls for social desirability response set (i.e., the tendency to give good-sounding but untrue responses).
- 8. Independence has also been reported for FSO's by Walther in his study for the Herter Commission. Walther compared FSOs with other occupational groups and found that "... the Foreign Service Officer tends to score high on the Self-confidence, Academic Data, Problem Analysis, Resourceful Accomplishment... Persuasive Leadership, and Autonomous scales. These results suggest that he likes work that combines interpreting data and influencing other people... his preferred style for working with a formal organization is to do the work himself rather than to work through a hierarchy. They greatly value personal intellectual achievement..." Regis Walther, Orientations and Behavioral Styles of Foreign Service Officers (New York: Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 1965), p. 16.
- 9. "Forceful" was checked by 58.9% of FSOs, 21.6% at OMB, and 67.3% of military officers; p(OMB-FSO) ns, p(FSO-NWC) < .001, $p(\text{OMB-NWC}) < 7 \times 10^{-5}$. "Stern but fair" percentages were 25.4% (FSO), 29.7% (OMB), 51.0% (NWC): p(FSO-NWC) < .002, others ns. "Very respectful to authority" percentages were 31.0% (FSO), 35.1% (OMB), 65.3% (NWC); p(OMB-FSO) ns, $p(\text{FSO-NWC}) < 7 \times 10^{-5}$, p(OMB-FSO) < .01. Significance levels determined by χ^2 with Yates correction.

The Leary scoring system "nets" dominance and submission to obtain an overall score, a methodological shortcoming with a military group. Decomposed dominance and submission scores are suspect because the "net score" weighting procedure controls for social desirability response set. All groups were slightly more dominant and affectionate than the theoretical mean without significant group mean differences in net scores.

- 10. p(OMB-FSO) ns, $p(FSO-NWC) < 3 \times 10^{-4}$, p(OMB-NWC) < .04.
- 11. 8.92 (FSO), 8.61 (OMB), 8.97 (NWC); ρ (OMB-FSO) < .03, ρ (FSO-NWC) ns, ρ (OMB-NWC) < .05. Here, and for all other interval scales, statistical significance of differences was assessed by t-test based on an F-test with a ρ < .05 threshold for rejection of the null hypothesis of similar group variances. Significance levels of differences are not simply a product of absolute differences in means but depend as well on variances and number of respondents. For additional detail on the semantic differential adjective scales used here see appendix A, also Charles Osgood et al., The Méasurement of Meaning (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1957), and J. Snider and C. Osgood (eds.), Semantic Differential Technique: A Sourcebook

Chicago: Aldine, 1969.) Connotative similarity was determined by factor analysis-varimax criteria and orthogonal rotation, as described in appendix A.

12. An indication of high sense of esteem was an answer to a question on the SRC Strongmindedness scale (not reported in detail). Asked "When you get into an argument do you usually get your own way or do you often give in?" sixty percent of American adults say they "often give in" while only 50% at OMB checked this, 37% at the State, and only 27% at NWC. See the FSOs love of persuading people found by Walther (n. 8) and the evidence for higher dominance of military officers cited earlier.

On the theoretical and methodological issues involved in the concept of self-esteem see Ruth C. Wylie, "The Present Status of Self Theory" in Edgar F. Borgatta and William W. Lambert (eds.), Handbook of Personality Theory and Research (Chicago: Rand McNally, 1968), pp. 728–787, and her The Self Concept (Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1961).

- 13, 3.62 (FSO), 4.41 (OMB), 3.20 (NWC). Of the 1,600 subjects in the Eysenck study, 91% completed all items. For government groups combined, t=4.9, $p(t)<5\times10^{-7}$. Analyzed separately there are strongly significant FSO and NWC differences from British adults. The OMB group shows a likely difference (t=1.49, p(t)<.07). See p. 58 of thesis version of the present work; H. J. Eysenck, "A Short Questionnaire for the Measurement of Two Dimensions of Personality," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 42:1 (1958), pp. 14–17.
- 14. See Bernard Mennis, American Foreign Policy Officials: Who They Are and What They Believe Regarding International Politics (Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 1971); David Garnham, Attitude and Personality Patterns of Foreign Service Officers and the Conduct of American Foreign Affairs (unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Minnesota, 1971).
- 15. 6.77 (FSO), 6.36 (OMB), 7.61 (NWC); ρ (OMB-FSO) ns, ρ (FSO-NWC) $< 8 \times 10^{-5}$, ρ (OMB-NWC) $< 4 \times 10^{-6}$.
- 16. 7.74 (FSO), 7.45 (OMB), 8.12 (NWC); p (OMB-FSO) ns, p (FSO-NWC) < .009, p (OMB-NWC) < .001. For increases from self scores, OMB: t = 4.39, p(t) < .001; FSO: t = 6.06, p(t) < .001; NWC: t = 2.49, p(t) < .01.
- 17. "Many FSOs are ambitious to become an ambassador." John E. Harr, *The Professional Diplomat* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1969), p. 207.

It may be important that Harr finds a substantial number of these ambitious diplomats overestimate the probability of career advancement to ambassadorships: many more think they have a good chance than will ever be able to fit in the available slots. Perhaps ambitious men overestimate the probability of success (a trait which may affect their greater willingness to use force), although an alternative interpretation is that the State Department promotes unrealistically high expectations of career success to retain able and ambitious men. Six hundred men felt they had a "good" chance or better when there were only 85 slots (ibid. p. 207). The discrepancy is slightly exaggerated by Harr, however, since there will be turnover in these 85 slots and not all 600 will compete simultaneously.

18. However, the question of trust within American elites is probably more complex than I have been able to paint it. Argyris conducted an intensive investigation of the State Department and concluded, on the basis of extensive encounter group sessions with diplomats at all levels, that there were exceptionally low levels of interpersonal trust throughout the Department. I think Argyris's contrary results can be partially explained as follows: he found that the State Department has strong group norms favoring rationality in interpersonal relations and disdaining the intrusion of emotion. Further, there was an associated norm that one should avoid candor which might lead to direct conflict. The encounter groups he used called, however, for both the expression of feelings and for candor. Thus Argyris's results may indicate that it was the idea of violating group *norms* which generated considerable anxiety and fears of retaliation. By the criteria Argyris employed (the restriction of certain group norms and the imagined risk of punishment for violating norms) most bureaucratic organizations would probably be characterized by low interpersonal trust.

The difference between the results obtained with the present scale and Argyris's conclusion probably depends, then, on different conceptions of trust. My questions asked about the ordinary assumption of goodwill a man might make in the normal course of his everyday work. Argyris's criteria, that there should be no fear of retaliation for candor, are broader and more difficult to meet. Argyris, *Some Causes of Organizational Ineffectiveness Within the Department of State*, Occasional Paper No. 2 of the Center for International Systems Research (Washington: Department of State, 1967).

For the original scale and national sample results see John P. Robinson et al., Measures of Political Attitudes (Ann Arbor: Survey Research Center, 1968), pp. 651-652, 662.

- Competition between political elites may make political leaders less trusting than these government professionals.
- 20. By t-test on original six-point interval scale $p(\mathsf{OMB-FSO}) < .004$, $p(\mathsf{FSO-NWC}) < .001$, $p(\mathsf{OMB-NWC}) < .001$. Original items were (Incrementalist): "Actually, I've taken life pretty much as it's happened. I've just taken the course that looked most attractive when the time came to make a choice," and (Long Range Planning): "Frankly, I've usually planned out my life pretty far ahead. I've known exactly where I wanted to go, figured out how to get there, and followed through."
- 21. These differences may reflect, in part, the different capacities of men in careeroriented hierarchical organizations to make long range plans. They have a clearer socially sanctioned definition of what "success" entails.
- 22. Political transcendence scores (on a scale of 0 to 10) show higher transcendence among civilians than among members of career services, greatest subordination among military officers: 5.89 (FSO), 6.33 (OMB), 5.56 (NWC); p(OMB-FSO) < .004, p(FSO-NWC) < .004, p(OMB-NWC) < .001.
- 23. Extraversion scores did not differ significantly across groups and showed the mean of these respondents to be slightly greater than that of British adults. See Eysenck (n. 13).

- 24. p(OMB-FSO) < .05, p(FSO-NWC) < .001, p(OMB-NWC) < .001.
- 25. 6.78 (FSO), 6.49 (OMB), 7.12 (NWC), differences not significant. On the related activity-power image of Soviet foreign policy (10 = high activity-power) scores were 7.23 (FSO), 6.92 (OMB), 7.29 (NWC), with intergroup differences again not significant.

 $26. p({\rm OMB-FSO}) < .04, p({\rm FSO-NWC})$ ns, $p({\rm OMB-NWC}) < .03$. A man could check as many major goals as he thought applied: the other options checked by more than 30% of diplomats were "they are seeking to gain control over oil resources vital to Western Europe and Japan" (48% FSO) and "they want to spread Communist ideology among the Arab peoples" (35.2% FSO). Military officers were much more likely to believe control of oil was a Russian motivation (71.4%, $p({\rm FSO-NWC}) < .009$), and they were far less persuaded of ideological motives (12.2%, $p({\rm FSO-NWC}) < .005$). Significance levels determined by χ^2 with Yates correction and Ns of 125 (FSO), 37 (OMB), 49 (NWC).

27.p < .001.

- 28. Differences ns.
- 29. FSO-OMB difference (when weighted for intensity) is significant (p < .04); other differences are not.
- 30. Lester B. Pearson, Partners in Development: Report of the Commission on International Development (New York: Praeger, 1969). This result is important because it seems to establish there is no likelihood of substantial increases in assistance: even the sights of men who tend to be liberal in their domestic politics and internationalist in their foreign policies seem well below the 1% level.
- 31. The data partially confirm a hypothesis by Bruce Russett that those who favor larger amounts of foreign aid place greater importance on the aid. The hypothesis is confirmed for military aid (the correlation between importance and amount is .387, p < .01). There is no support in the case of economic development assistance (r = .083, ns), possibly because of the special nature of the sample (i.e., almost all men in these groups desire more aid rather than less). See Bruce Russett, "Demography, Salience, and Internationalist Behavior," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 24 (1960), pp. 658-664.
- 32 Ditterences ns.
- 33. Differences ns.
- 34. All OMB-NWC differences statistically significant at least at p < .001. The OMB dissent on neutral or pro-American foreign policy is statistically significant at p < .001; there is no essential difference on this item between FSO and NWC respondents.
- 35. All differences p < .01.
- 36. FSO-OMB difference weighted for intensity p < .04; other differences ns.

- 37. $p(FSO-OMB) < 7 \times 10^{-5}$, p(FSO-NWC) ns, p(OMB-NWC) < .002.
- 38. p(FSO-OMB) ns., p(FSO-NWC) < .02; p(OMB-NWC) < .003.
- 39. p(FSO-OMB) < .006, p(FSO-NWC) < .003, p(OMB-NWC) ns.
- 40. 7.28 (FSO), 6.98 (OMB), 7.82 (NWC). ρ (OMB-FSO) = ns, ρ (FSO-NWC) < .002, ρ (OMB-NWC) < .001. For hypothesis of desired increase probability of error of rejecting null hypothesis < .001 (OMB t=5.22, FSO t=6.90, NWC t=8.41). The hypothesis that the military desired increase is greater than the civilian is also confirmed with t=2.54, ρ < .05.
- 41. See the further discussion of these items in appendix A.
- 42. Military officer intensity was significantly (p < .01) higher, 2.4, probably what one would want of the men who actually do the fighting.
- 43. p(FSO-OMB) ns, $p(FSO-NWC) < 4 \times 10^{-8}$, $p(OMB-NWC) < 4 \times 10^{-7}$. Original question was "How would you describe your domestic political views?" with answers given on a 7-point Likert scale.
- 44. The relation between traditional views of the cold war and the image of current Soviet foreign policy menace became statistically significant (r = .200, N = 224, p < .01). This expansion of the data base to include a broader range of variance—more "hawks" from the military, more "doves" from OMB—provides evidence for the conventional wisdom that a "liberal-conservative" dimension of both perception and policy also exists among elites.
- 45. This point, that we are dealing with both policy preferences and beliefs about reality *simultaneously*, is crucial to emphasize. Some accounts of hawk-dove or liberal-conservative disagreements contend that the differences are primarily over values. This is wrong. The differences are deeper, lying in *internally coherent different experiences of reality*. See also references in note 25 of chapter 2.

- 1. In this discussion I am following the convention of imagining the dependent (attitude) scores graphed vertically and the independent (personality trait) scores graphed horizontally. See appendix A for more discussion of methods.
- 2. Appendix A describes in detail the methods used in the State Department study and the issues they raise. A check for nonlinearity showed that linear equations efficiently captured the relationships.
- 3. Assuming the equation is correctly specified.
- 4. There is another number which is represented in the tables as a probability estimate for each of the b_i terms. This number, p(t), is analogous to p(F) and is the probability that the observed values of b_i would result from random processes when the true $b_i = 0$.

- 5. As the methodology appendix A discusses, there are problems with paper-and-pencil questionnaires (called "attenuation") with a result that the b and R^2 values reported here are probably too low and the p(t) and p(F) values too high (i.e., the derived results are even more nonrandom than calculated).
- 6, This was also true of two additional semantic differential scores for stability and pragmatism discussed in appendix A.
- 7. The original 7-point scales were converted to a range of 0 to 10 so that 1.5 units on the transformed scale correspond to .9 units on the original scale. With this transformation the American foreign policy evaluation score = 2.24 + .6 (NWC intercept shift) -1.04 (OMB intercept shift) + .54 (self-evaluation score). The p(t)s, were < .01, < 1 × 10⁻⁷, < 1 × 10⁻⁴, R^2 = .21, SE = 1.43, F(3,218) = 18.8, p(F) < 8 × 10⁻¹¹

The American foreign policy activity-power score = 5.07 -.8 (NWC intercept shift) -.5 (OMB intercept shift) + .18 (self-activity power score). The $\rho(t)$ s were < .002, < .01, < .003. $R^2 = .09$, SE = 1.2, F(3,218) = 8.03, $\rho(F) < 5 \times 10^{-5}$.

See additional discussion in the thesis version, Lloyd Etheredge, *A World of Men*, unpublished doctoral thesis, Yale University, 1974. (Ann Arbor: University Microfilms).

The closeness of mean rating is usually taken as a better measure of identification than b coefficients or R^2 since, among a group of identifiers, there is likely to be small true score variance relative to measurement error variance, thus depressing b and R^2 .

See the discussion of attenuation in appendix A.

- 8. p(t) of the FSO slope coefficient < .20. This observed figure needs to be evaluated in the light of the likely attenuation problem discussed in the appendix A; subtracting random measurement variations, the p(t) may be much smaller.
- 9. G. K. Chesterton, "The Mistake of the Machine," in his *The Wisdom of Father Brown* (Middlesex: Penguin, 1970), pp. 76-91, esp. p. 77.
- 10. W. R. Kite, Attributions of Causality as a Function of the Use of Reward and Punishment. (unpublished doctoral dissertation, Stanford University, 1964); Barry Schlenker and James Tedeschi, "Interpersonal Attraction and the Exercise of Coercive and Reward Power," Human Relations, 25:5 (1973), pp. 427-439.
- 11. C. L. Wheeler and E. F. Carnes, "Relations among Self-Concepts, Ideal Self-Concepts, and Stereotypes of Probable and Ideal Vocational Choices," *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 15 (1968), pp. 530-535.

The gap between how powerful and active a man feels and his ideal self dreams was a poorer predictor than the ideal self dreams themselves. Apparently, then, the dynamic is the content of the dreams themselves and not the relative deprivation or frustration (in the simple sense measured here) a man feels.

12. This variable, interpersonal trust, could also be considered an indicator of ingroup solidarity. If so, then the results go directly counter to the prediction of ethnocentrism theory and are additional evidence that these men are not ethnocentric in ways that are illuminated by examining intra-elite personality differences.

I do not mean that being highly trusting is necessarily an ideal for an individual; it would be a more widely accepted ideal that he make differentiated, realistic assessments of who he could trust, to what extent, and under what conditions. (That everyone be trustworthy so everyone can be realistically trusting is more properly a desirable attribute of a system.) The correlations are unidirectional; mistrust does not predict, as did the neurotic symptoms, either to above or below average advocacy of force or desired capability levels.

- 13. p(t) of the FSO and NWC slope coefficient < .20, for the OMB slope shift coefficient, p(t) < .08. See appendix A on corrections for attentuation.
- 14. The correlations are unidirectional; low self-esteem does not predict, as did neurotic symptoms, either to above or below average advocacy of force or desired capability levels.
- 15. I do not find this explanation as to why high self-esteem military officers want lower capability levels completely satisfactory. It might be that the NWC intercept term, which has multicollinearity with the group membership interaction term, makes the NWC \times self-esteem interaction term b coefficient unstable, but in reality the correlation in the NWC sample is -.282 (W = 40, p < .08), so the sign of the slope of the regression line is not an artifact, although its magnitude may be poorly estimated.
- 16. I should emphasize that this is not, to my mind, a test of authoritarianism as a personality syndrome (the research of Mennis and Garnham cited in chapter 3 of the present work suggests authoritarianism is quite low among these men). Rather it is a test of transcendence of routine political socialization, a test of the self having "grown up" politically.

It is important to note, however, that almost all of these men have high self-evaluations. Since the spatial location of American foreign policy was assessed indirectly by its evaluative score the major component of the variance of the political transcendence score is simply the evaluative score for American foreign policy. The observed results can be read either as "political transcendence produces . . ." or as "those who have objections to American foreign policy tend to believe . . .," and the data do not permit ruling out the second interpretation.

- 17. This is true provided the equations are correctly specified. An argument for considering bs more important than R^2 s in analyzing data is Eric A. Hanushek and John E. Jackson, Statistical Methods for Social Scientists (New York: Academic Press, 1977), p. 21.
- 18. This is a rough measure since, with only 5 items, the use of force scale moves in 20% jumps. Here, however, it is treated as a continuous variable.
- 19. This is a rough measure since, with only 5 steps, the desired war capability scale moves in ½ war jumps. Here, however, it is treated as a continuous variable.
- 20. In addition we have already seen two other instances of group-based effects: high dominance domestic policy specialists at OMB want to cut defense spending,

and being a military officer seems to produce a shift exclusively toward military intervention as a result of intrapsychic conflict (neurotic symptoms).

21. Cited in Bengt Abrahamsson, *Military Professionalization and Political Power* (Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1972), p. 77. Original source is Charles O. Lerche, Jr., "The Professional Officer and Foreign Policy," *Strategic Subjects Handbook* (Fort Leavenworth: US Army Command and General Staff College, 1967), R 1800-1, p. Li-5f.

- 1. There is a possibility that what I have measured as ideal-self dreams may include harsh superego demands on the self. See note 24 below.
- 2. These were mean rankings on the adjective scales discussed earlier (e.g., strongweak, active-passive, dominating-submitting, etc.).
- 3. Major differences of mean scores (especially on the evaluative and pragmatism dimensions) between the self-image and the Soviet foreign policy image is the ground for concluding that these men do not, in general, identify with Soviet foreign policy. One could, however, make the case that they identify with the power component of Soviet policy.
- 4. See the discussion of attenuation in appendix A. These are probably underestimates.
- 5. There is a negative effect of the ideal self: activity-power score on the comparison image of British foreign policy at OMB: British activity-power = 5.48 .123 (ideal self: activity-power score), p(t) and $p(F) < 6 \times 10^{-4}$, F(1, 196) = 12.71, $R^2 = .06$, SE = 1.35. My guess is that the economists at OMB were reacting primarily to Britain's economic problems and were "putting it down" to the extent they cared about greater personal vitality and power.
- 6 From the semantic differential.
- 7. These effects hold when groups are analyzed separately.
- 8. 46.3% of the 121 men scoring low on the experience of their own activity and power (\leq 7) believe the menacing scenario, compared with 66.0% of the 106 scoring above 7. N = 227, $\chi^2 = 8.15$, $\rho(\chi^2) < .005$. χ^2 with Yates correction.
- 9. 45.5% of the 123 men scoring low on wishes to feel active and powerful (\leq 7.8) believe the menacing scenario compared with 67% of the 103 scoring above 7.8. N = 226, $\chi^2 = 9.6 p(\chi^2) < .002$. χ^2 with Yates correction.
- 10. 48.7% of the 117 men who were incrementalists (\leq 5) believe the menacing scenario compared with 62.5% of the 107 men who were long range planners. N = 224, $\chi^2 = 3.8$, $p(\chi^2) < .05$. χ^2 with Yates correction.
- 11. 85.3% of the 75 men who were incrementalists believe the traditional version (\leq 5) compared with 97.0% of the 66 men who were long range planners. N = 141,

- χ^2 = 4.4 $\rho(\chi^2)$ < .04. χ^2 with Yates correction. Only one military officer was a revisionist, too small a number for a statistical test.
- 12. Evaluation score of Soviet foreign policy = 1.69 + .26 (idealization of American foreign policy). R^2 = .05, F(1,212) = 10.9, p(t) and $p(F) \le .002$, SE = 1.49, R^2 = .05.
- 13. A competing hypothesis—that response checking style on semantic differential items could produce some of the results in this chapter—underlines the importance of the British image comparison (which showed no significant effects) and the (corroborating) concrete attributions made specifically about the Middle East in this item with a different response format.
- 14. 97.1% of the 102 FSOs and OMB respondents who scored low on neuroticism (\leq 3) believed the traditional version compared with 83.0% of the 94 who scored high (\leq 3) on neuroticism. N=196, $\chi^2=9.5$, $p(\chi^2)<.003$. χ^2 with Yates correction. Only one military officer was a revisionist, too small a number for a statistical test.
- 15. A separate analysis showed that, at State and OMB only, those with more neurotic symptoms were more *opposed* to a military confrontation with the Soviet Union in the Caribbean scenario. Opposition went from 26.7% of the 101 with low neuroticism scores (\leq 3) to 41.9% of the 74 with scores above 3.0. $p(\chi^2) < .06$, N = 175, χ^2 with Yates correction = 3.77.
- 16. Assuming, again, that the equations are properly specified.
- 17. A one-stage process, such as some earlier psychoanalytic writers seem to have employed, is an index of psychosis since reality cues play no part in establishing appropriateness in such a theory. The two-stage theory sketched here maintains that projection is invoked as an aid to understanding reality, not a process primarily of looking for an excuse to have an enemy that one needs for his own mental stability.
- 18. As we shall see later in this chapter their age, particularly at the higher levels, also places them in a cohort whose members believe more strongly the traditional explanation of the origins of the cold war.
- 19. A variety of theories—gestalt, cognitive consistency, Freudian—could be invoked to explore this internal thematic coherence. See Robert P. Abelson et al. (eds.), Theories of Cognitive Consistency: A Sourcebook (Chicago: Rand McNally, 1968); John D. Steinbruner, The Cybernetic Theory of Decision (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1974), ch. 4; Robert Jervis, Perception and Misperception in International Politics (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1976); Dina Zinnes, "The Expression and Perception of Hostility in Prewar Crisis, 1914" in J. David Singer (ed.), Quantitative International Politics: Insights and Evidence (New York: Free Press, 1968). See the correlation discussed earlier (chapter 3 of the present work), which identifies this syndrome tendency in interpersonal—and again in international—relations.
- 20. David Rothberg, in an unpublished study of 251 military officers at the Air War College and Air Command and Staff College, reports high scores for TAT power motivation and moderately high correlation between these scores (.55, ρ < .001)

and the fear of having power used against them by others. See David Rothberg, *Insecurity and Success in American Life* (Cambridge, Mass. MIT, doctoral thesis in process); see also David Winter, *The Power Motive* (New York: Free Press, 1973), p. 84 et passim.

- 21. Robert A. Levine and Donald T. Campbell, Ethnocentrism: Theories of Conflict, Ethnic Attitudes, and Group Behavior (New York: Wiley, 1972), pp. 150–155; Philip E. Slater, Footholds (New York: Dutton, 1977), chs. 9, 10, and apps. A, B; William Eckhardt, "Anthropological Correlates of Primitive Militarism," Peace Research, 5:2 (February, 1973), pp. 5–10; Elbert W. Russell, "Factors of Human Aggression: A Cross-Cultural Factor Analysis of Characteristics Related to Warfare and Crime," Behavior Science Notes, 7:4 (1972), pp. 275–312. A recent review of nine major cross-cultural studies of war is David Levinson, "What Have We Learned From Cross-Cultural Surveys?" American Behavioral Scientist, 20:5 (May-June, 1977), pp. 757–792. See also the following theoretical pieces on male narcissism: Lloyd Etheredge, "Hardball Politics: A Model" (Unpublished paper presented to the Northeast Political Science Association meeting, 1976); Bruce Mazlish, The Revolutionary Ascetic (New York: Basic, 1976); Lucian Pye, Mao Tse Tung: The Man in the Leader (New York: Basic Books, 1976); Hudson W. Meadwell, "Male Narcissism and American Foreign Policy" (unpublished manuscript, Duke University, n.d.), Winter (n. 20).
- 22. Nathan Leites, A Study of Bolshevism (Glencoe, III.: Free Press, 1954). Leites' theory is different from those of current writers, but the syndrome is similar.
- 23. Eleanor Maccoby and Carol Jacklin, *The Psychology of Sex Differences* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1974); see also the emerging evidence linking the level of the male sex hormone testosterone with aggressiveness, in Joel Ehrenkranz, et al., "Plasma Testosterone: Correlation with Aggressive Behavior and Social Dominance in Man," *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 36:6 (November-December, 1974), pp. 469-475.
- 24. Another interpretation might also account for linkages between some personality characteristics and the perception of the Soviet Union. The most widely used psychoanalytic version of ethnocentrism conceives the superego and the ego as allied to combat repressed id impulses which an individual has projected into his image of an outgroup. But Bruno Bettelheim and Morris Janowitz have suggested an alternative formulation of superego projection which might operate with respect to certain groups. By this formulation it is the id and the ego which ally to resist projected aspects of a harsh and tyrannical superego. In this account the threats to his happiness, freedom, and self-expression which a man may feel are embodied in Soviet foreign policy are, in part, transformed caricatures of the criticisms and demands he would be inclined to make of himself in other areas of his personal life. If one considers the image of the ideal self to be what Bettelheim and Janowitz mean by "superego," and if what I have called "desires" for activity and power are reconceptualized as superego "demands" on the self, then the findings of this study are evidence for superego projection in forming the image of Soviet foreign policy and the tendency to employ force would be, in part, a playing-out in the global arena

of a man's internal divisions. See Bruno Bettelheim and Morris Janowitz, *Dynamics of Prejudice* (New York: Harper, 1950), p. 43. See also Ray Schafer, *Psychoanalytic Interpretation in Rorshach Testing: Theory and Application* (New York: Grune and Stratton, 1954), p. 279.

- 25. Richard Sennett and Jonathan Cobb, *The Hidden Injuries of Class* (New York: Knopf, 1972).
- 26. Kenneth W. Terhune, "Motives, Situation, and Interpersonal Conflict Within Prisoner's Dilemma, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 8 (1968) monograph 3, part 2.
- 27. Perceived Soviet responsibility (0 to 10 with 10 being highly traditional) = 5.57 + .06 (Age) + .02(age, NWC slope shift). p(t) respectively $< 5 \times 10^{-4}$ and < .01. F(2,220) = 12.5, $p(F) < 8 \times 10^{-2}$, $R^2 = .10$, SE = 2.10.
- 28. It would be possible to do a "path analysis" in which, for example, orthodox views of cold war origins and domestic conservatism are intervening variables between personality and desired war capability. But I suspect the exercise would, because of different scale reliabilities, claim too much precision. As well it is not clear the extent to which conservatism or traditional cold war views are in effect qualitatively different variables with independent effects or whether they are *composite* variables which enter into the equations as surrogates for multiple components of ambition, competitiveness, fear, etc., which they embody (and whose variances they absorb) to various degrees.

I have also chosen not to use various high technology data aggregation techniques like factor analysis because it seems to me more important to preserve empathy with the complex and subtle processes actually at work.

- 1. R. E. Donley and D. G. Winter, "Measuring the Motives of Public Officials at a Distance: An Exploratory Study of American Presidents," *Behavioral Science*, 15 (1970), pp. 227–236. See also David Winter, *The Power Motive* (New York: Free Press, 1973), pp. 212–218.
- See David McClelland, Power: The Inner Experience (New York: Irvington, 1975) for data which suggest this possibility.
- 3. William Eckhardt, "Ideology and Personality in Social Attitudes," *Peace Research Reviews*, 3:2 (April, 1969), entire; William Eckhardt and T. Lentz, "Factors of War/Peace Attitudes," *Peace Research Reviews*, 1:5 (October, 1967), entire.
- 4. I am here following Greenstein's methodological lead. However, I have altered his concept of "actor dispensability" to the slightly more constrained concept of "elite actor interchangeability," a modification which seems more useful for focusing upon different levels of analysis. See Fred 1. Greenstein, Personality and Politics: Problems of Evidence, Inference, and Conceptualization (Chicago: Markham, 1969), ch. 2.

- 5. Irving L. Janis, *Victims of Groupthink* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1972). Since shared personality traits can produce policy agreement—and since I have ignored the cases of policy agreement—this research design may underestimate the total impact of elite personality traits.
- 6. It is conceivable that, with more cases, a less simple and more differentiated approach would be useful. Thus the present personality dimension may predict best to use of force against smaller countries, but relations with autonomously powerful opponents in domestic politics might predict better to relations with the Soviet Union since World War II. For all his bullying tendencies toward subordinates, Lyndon Johnson was more restrained and empathetic in dealing with the Soviet Union.
- 7. Donley and Winter (n. 1). See the correlation between dominance and ambition in table 3.1. In the State Department study, the failure to selectively measure dominance over subordinates may account for the poor explanatory power of the measure used.
- 8. The use of trained political scientists can be challenged on the grounds they may bring bias to such tasks. My own feeling is that they bring a useful sensitivity to power. For example, Franklin Roosevelt's chaotic administrative style might be interpreted as reflecting low dominance. The judges, however, saw this as a style consciously designed to heighten presidential dominance. A similar professional sensitivity applies to the Truman coding problem discussed next in the text.
- 9. Norman A. Graebner (ed.), An Uncertain Tradition: American Secretaries of State in the Twentieth Century (New York: McGraw Hill, 1961).
- 10. Jessup (table 6.2, entry 4), p. 250.
- 11. Thus among the low dominance men the introverts (Maintainers) should be more likely to use force to maintain the status quo.
- 12. Schlesinger (table 6.2, entry 22), p. 435.
- 13. Harold D. Lasswell, Psychopathology and Politics (New York: Viking, 1960).
- 14. To check further whether the dynamics investigated in the historical study corresponded to dynamics that could be confirmed directly by men involved in top level decision-making groups, a summary report of the research was brought to the attention of several participants in foreign policy during the Johnson administration. Former Undersecretary of State George Ball felt strongly that personality explanations were crucial to understanding the Vietnam War, although he felt the better conceptualization was to see decision makers' approaches to policy as a result of past learned and reinforced behavior. He felt that his own belief in the limits of power grew from his post-World War II experience in Europe. He felt that Dean Rusk's approach to Vietnam was essentially a reenactment of Rusk's earlier positive experience in the Korean War (when he had been assistant secretary of state.) Rusk believed, according to Ball, that Vietnam would eventually work out for America and he would explicitly cite the dark days of the Korean War (which had eventually

turned in America's favor). Presidential adviser McGeorge Bundy, Ball felt, was too used to functioning as a college dean, concerned with management of a process rather than substance, and that his successor, Walt Rostow, was similar. Lyndon Johnson, he felt, simply had no elite background and experience to form firm and independent views of his own and tended to act out of awe of his well-educated elite advisers. Secretary of Defense McNamara's past experience with computers led him to concentrate on this technology without sufficient consideration of psychological and political dimensions. See George W. Ball, "A Policy Maker's View: Experience vs. Character," *Psychology Today* (March, 1975), p. 39.

I have no disagreement with George Ball since I think policy outcomes are multiply determined, and the hypothesis that successful personal approaches to past similar problems are carried forward seems promising. (Personality tendencies in these men—since they were all nominally "successful" in terms of American norms—could be seen in the same broad framework.) J. David Barber's notion of the model provided by a "first independent political success" seems relevant here. See J. D. Barber, The Presidential Character: Predicting Performance in the White House (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1972).

- 1. See, for example, Eric Klinger, Structure and Functions of Fantasy (New York: Wiley Interscience, 1971); David McClelland et al., The Achievement Motive (New York: Appleton-Century, 1953) and Power: The Inner Experience (New York: Irvington, 1975); David Winter, The Power Motive (New York: Free Press, 1973); David McClelland and David Winter, Motivating Economic Achievement (New York: Free Press, 1971); J. W. Atkinson (ed.), Motives in Fantasy, Action, and Society (Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958); Bernard Murstein (ed.), Handbook of Projective Techniques (New York: Basic Books, 1965); Peter B. Warr (ed.), Thought and Personality (Baltimore: Penguin, 1970); Michael Lerner, Personal Politics (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Yale University, 1971).
- 2. See, especially, Winter, The Power Motive (n. 1).
- 3. Quoted in Alfred Schuetz, "Choosing Among Projects of Action," Philosophy and Phenomenological Research, XII:2 (December, 1951), pp. 161–184, esp. p. 162. See also DeRivera's notion of "shuttling" and linearity, a useful alternative to the rational choice implications that thought is systematic. Joseph DeRivera, The Psychological Dimension of Foreign Policy (Columbus: Merrill, 1968), ch. 4, esp. pp. 116–120 and 125–129. Note also that DeRivera's formal model presented at the beginning does not fit perfectly with his examples; his own running commentary is more instructive of how the decision process may operate. Particularly suggestive I think, are his comments on "the existence of an emotional bias... that often seems to exist for one of the alternatives" (p. 119), the altering of perceptions and meanings of outcomes which occur in the search for a viable alternative (shifts that would not be predicted by a rational choice model), and his observation that "it is interesting to note how often a decision is helped along by asking for the advice of a friend whose advice we know will be in the right direction" (p. 128).

In addition, projective intuitionism probably makes the conduct of foreign policy more stressful because a man is always, in part, conflicting with a projection of part of himself when he is confronting an enemy.

- 4. I do not try to resolve the problem here of which comes first, the self-expressive perception or the self-expressive policy predisposition, although I suspect it useful to think of perception as more central.
- 5. Regis Walther, Orientations and Behavioral Styles of Foreign Service Officers. Foreign Affairs Personnel Study No. 5 (New York: Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 1965), p. 43; and R. Rothstein, Planning, Prediction, and Policymaking in Foreign Affairs (Boston: Little, Brown, 1972), pp. 137-147 et passim partially support this conclusion by their observations of intuitionism in policy formation.
- 6. A desire to have or retain some orientation which feels right may also be involved; as Robert Lane puts it, "when the giveness of ideas on which one has implicitly guided one's life is questioned there is a loss of orientation which is frightening." Robert E. Lane, Political Thinking and Consciousness: The Private Life of the Political Mind (New York: Markham, 1969), p. 315. See also the related concept of "central paranoia" in Jan Pearce and Saul Newton, The Conditions of Human Growth (New York: Citadel, 1963).
- 7. For the ambition-fear syndrome, if Hitler (with his massive fears of French ambition and Jews) was a 10, Kennedy might be at about 5, LBJ at 6, Nixon a 6.5. Such an ambition-mistrust, grandiosity-paranoia syndrome, documented as well with projective test (TAT) research, is not limited to just FSOs and others in this study. See, e.g., the study of the top echelons of the New York Times by Chris Argyris, Behind the Front Page (San Francisco: Jossey Bass, 1974).
- 8. "National security" (personal security) secrecy is one consequence that could be predicted when men do not feel fully confident to face criticism (i.e., when policy is ego-defensive because it is self-expressive and projectively intuitionist). For an early (and not fully psychological) discussion of trends toward closed policy making see H. Bradford Westerfield, "Congress and Closed Politics in National Security Affairs," Orbis, X:3 (Fall, 1966), pp. 737-753.

It follows from this study that political decision makers, since they are inevitably trapped within their own minds, and since they ought to entertain skepticism that they truly understand reality and the most effective policies in a given situation, ought to seek out, honor, and more highly value their critics. A tough, clear-thinking critic is the most effective resource available for developing clarity about assumptions and thought processes. See Alexander L. George, "The Case for Multiple Advocacy in Making Foreign Policy," *American Political Science Review* 67:3 (September, 1972), pp. 751–785.

I have not emphasized the Vietnam War problem, and I do not suggest that personal predispositions were the only reasons for this conflict, although they may have been decisive on both sides. For a recent study confirming the impact of President Johnson's personality on war policy see Doris Kearns, *Lyndon Johnson and the American Dream* (New York: Harper and Row, 1976).

- 10. Harold D. Lasswell, *Psychopathology and Politics*, (New York: Viking, 1960), paperback edition, and *World Politics and Personal Insecurity* (New York: Free Press, 1965), paperback edition.
- 11. See, for example, the evidence in chapter 3 of the present work that self images are close to idealized self images.

Appendix A

- 1. In fact questionnaires were also sent to 40 additional civilian students at the National War College. Those FSOs at the National War College and additional FSOs contacted at the Foreign Service Institute through the good offices of John Hurley, Jr., and Don Ellson provided 17 additional FSO responses in addition to the 126 from the random sample. Intergroup comparisons in chapter 3 are based on the random sample, while regression results employ the expanded data base.
- 2. Foreign Service Officers and military officers who returned the questionnaire only after receiving the follow-up letter were compared with those who responded relatively promptly. I hypothesized that late returns might come from those who were more reluctant to participate and thus the analysis might give a clue to the characteristics of men who were very reluctant and did not return the questionnaire at all. However no significant pattern of differences emerged beyond the level that might be expected from chance.
- 3. My guess is that about one-half of the subject loss at State and NWC can be attributed to this fact, to time pressures, and to general skepticism about, and lack of interest in, social science research. Personal interviews conducted by Mennis at the Department of State obtained an 80% response rate, similar to that obtained with personal contacts at OMB. Previous mail solicitations of FSOs have produced response rates ranging from 43% to 72%. But many things varied in these studies: world-wide versus Washington samples, subject matter, length of questionnaire, status of the researcher, and there is an insufficient base of experience to infer the reasons for subject loss.
- 4. These impressions were not based on systematic inquiry. Procedures to guarantee anonymity also precluded my identification of individuals who did not respond.
- 5. Jeanne Knutson, The Human Basis of the Polity: A Psychological Study of Political Men (Chicago: Aldine Atherton, 1972).
- 6. John Bartlow Martin, Overtaken by Events (Garden City: Doubleday, 1966).
- 7. However it is almost certainly true that the general characteristics of the period affect the responses and make the means different from what might be obtained at another time (e.g., during the height of the cold war) or for another country.

- Standard additional precautions were also employed: each of the three adjective scales representing five dimensions selected from previous research had one scale reverse-ordered at random.
- 9. In addition the Leary checklist is counter balanced for social desireability and the Eysenck does not correlate with measures of faking to give a socially approved response. Since social-desireability effects probably operate in the real world, it probably gives the policy choice items more predictive validity not to control for such effects (even if that were possible).
- 10. David Garnham (personal communication) also reports a readiness of FSOs to "talk back" and object to questions and response alternatives with which they are uncomfortable.
- 11. See the thesis version, pp. 313-315, for the factor loadings.
- 12. Ibid.
- 13. For a general review of problems and methods pertinent to determining factorial structures see Philip Levy, "Concept Scale Interaction in Semantic Differential Research: Solutions in Search of a Problem," British Journal of Psychology, 63:2 (1972), pp. 235-236; Murray Miron, "Universal Semantic Differential Shell Game," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 24:3 (1972), pp. 313-320; John Bynner and David Romney, "A Method for Overcoming the Problem of Concept-Scale Interaction in Semantic Differential Research," British Journal of Psychology. 63:2 (1972), pp. 229-234; David Klemmack and John Ballweg, "Concept-Scale Interaction with the Semantic Differential Technique," Journal of Psychology, 84 (1973), pp. 345-352. On dimensional structures found for different individuals or groups in international relations research see, for example, J. Robinson and R. Hefner, "Perceptual Maps of the World," Public Opinion Quarterly, 32 (Summer, 1968), pp. 273-280; M. Wish et al., Differences in Conceptual Structures of Nations: An Exploratory Study," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 16 (1970), pp. 361-373; Peter Warr et al., "The Structure of Political Judgement," British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 8 (1969), pp. 32-43.
- 14. However this precaution may have been unnecessary; further analysis using standard Likert summation across scales showed the same pattern of correlations. All results reported for Likert scales in the text were also significant when intensity weighting was dropped.
- 15. The entire model was also run to determine whether the added controls would significantly alter the results. It should be noted that, when an intercept shift term and an intercept shift-independent variable interaction term for the same group are present in the equation simultaneously the two terms have a high degree of multicollinearity and, while the computed coefficients are best estimates, they would probably be subject to considerable variation given the addition or deletion of a few cases.

- 16. It is also possible that mood at the time of administration (especially annoyance at the questionnaire) might affect response. A separate check on (self-report) mood showed 12% of military officers, 14% of FSOs, and 8% at OMB were tired. An "annoyance" cluster showed 10% NWC, 4% FSO, and 0% OMB were annoyed by the questionnaire itself in some way. Later analysis showed that there were no significant systematic effects associated with these different moods.
- 17. I suggest that any remaining imperfections in the two studies *increase* the confidence it is appropriate to place in the results: "once a proposition has been confirmed by two or more independent measurement processes, the uncertainty of its interpretation is greatly reduced. The most persuasive evidence comes through a triangulation of measurement processes. If a proposition can survive the onslaught of a series of imperfect measures, with all their irrelevant error, confidence should be placed in it." Eugene Webb, et al., *Unobtrusive Measures: Nonreactive Research in the Social Sciences* (Chicago: Rand McNally, 1966), p. 3.
- 18. For a discussion of these issues see Allen W. Wicker, "Attitudes versus Actions: The Relationship of Verbal and Overt Behavioral Responses to Attitude Objects," Journal of Social Issues, 25:4 (1969), pp. 41–78; Robert P. Abelson, "Are Attitudes Necessary?" in Bert T. King and Elliott McGinnies (eds.), Attitudes, Conflict, and Social Change (New York: Academic Press, 1972), pp. 19–32; M. Brewster Smith, "Political Attitudes" in Jeanne Knutson (ed.), Handbook of Political Psychology (San Francisco: Jossey Bass, 1973), pp. 57–82, esp. p. 77; Icek Ajzen and Martin Fishbein, "Attitude-Behavior Relations: A Theoretical Analysis and Review of Empirical Research," Psychological Bulletin, 84:5 (September, 1977), pp. 888–918. My own position is close to the Abelson-Smith views.
- 19. From McClosky Jingoist Isolation scale. See Herbert McClosky, "Personality and Attitude Correlates of Foreign Policy Orientation," in James Rosenau (ed.), Domestic Sources of Foreign Policy (New York: Free Press, 1967), p. 103.

The use of "sloganistic" or emotionally-expressive attitude items in most personality-attitude research generates a potentially serious validity problem when extrapolating to elites. If all a man can record is his emotionally-expressive response, then the results may overstate the extent of emotional factors in the involvement (which will include cognitive processing) evidenced by sophisticated men in actual situations.

- 20. One indication of this possibility is that the interpersonal dominance scale of the Leary Interpersonal Checklist (ICL) did not predict to the use of force, while dominance over subordinates was a strong predictor in the historical study. Of course the Leary ICL is not as good a measure as the direct observations used in the historical study, but the anomaly suggests the possibility that dominating tendencies may be more strongly generalized in foreign policy when the man is in the driver's seat rather than on the sidelines.
- 21. See, for example, the general discussion in J. Johnston, *Econometric Methods*, second edition (New York: McGraw Hill, 1972), pp. 281-291. Discussions of this

problem with respect to psychological tests may be found in Karl Schuessler, Analyzing Social Data: A Statistical Orientation (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1971), ch. 8, and Frederic Lord and Melvin Novick, Statistical Theories of Mental Test Scores (Reading: Addison-Wesley, 1968), pp. 69–74 and 137–138. I should emphasize that the direction of, and size of, adjustments are straightforward only in the bivariate case.